IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

WADE KNIGHT,

Plaintiff

:

v.

CIVIL NO.3:CV-05-18

:

: (Judge Conaboy)

J. KAMINSKI, ET AL.,

Defendants

ORDER Background

Wade Knight, an inmate presently confined at the Big Sandy United States Penitentiary, Inez, Kentucky, filed this <u>pro se</u> action regarding events which purportedly transpired during his prior confinement at the Allenwood United States Penitentiary, White Deer, Pennsylvania (USP-Allenwood). His Complaint included civil rights allegations and claims under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA).

By Memorandum and Order dated March 10, 2006, Defendants' motion to dismiss or in the alternative for summary judgment was construed as solely seeking summary judgment and granted in part. By Memorandum and Order dated September 25, 2007, this Court granted summary judgment in favor of Defendants with respect to Plaintiff's remaining claims.¹

¹ The September 25, 2007 Memorandum and Order in part addressed the issue of whether Knight's FTCA claim was precluded by the

On May 29, 2009 the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an opinion which summarily affirmed the dismissal of Plaintiff's action. See Doc. 144-2. By Order dated September 7, 2010, Plaintiff's motion for relief from judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(6) was denied.

A March 27, 2013 unanimous decision of the United States
Supreme Court reversed a judgment of the Third Circuit Court of
Appeals which was based upon the precedent of <u>Pooler</u>. <u>See</u>

<u>Millbrook v. United States</u>, 133 S.Ct. 1441 (2013). Knight has
filed a petition (Doc. 166) and motion (Doc. 172) to reopen his

FTCA claims in light of <u>Millbrook</u>.

Within twenty-one (21) days of the date of this Order the parties shall file supplemental briefs addressing whether Plaintiff has satisfied the exceptional circumstances standard set forth in Cox v. Horn, 757 F.3d 113 (3d Cir. 2014). An appropriate Order will enter

AND NOW, THIS (\mathcal{U}) DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2016, IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

Within twenty-one (21) days of the date of this Order, the parties shall file supplemental briefs

Third Circuit's interpretation of § 2680(h) in <u>Pooler v. United</u> <u>States</u>, 787 F.2d. 868, 872 (3d Cir. 1986).

Case 3:05-cv-00018-RPC-JVW Document 184 Filed 11/16/16 Page 3 of 3

addressing whether Plaintiff's petition and motion to reopen his FTCA claims satisfy the exceptional circumstances standard set forth in Cox v. Horn, 757 F.3d 113 (3d Cir. 2014).

United States District Judge

FILED SCRANTON

NOV 1 6 2016